

Statistical Analysis on Nutritional Status and its Associated Factors of Under Five Years Children in Nepal

Upadhyay H.P^{1, 2}*, Bhusal M¹

¹ Department of Statistics, Tribhuvan University, Nepal ² Department of Community Medicine, College of Medical Science, Bharatpur-10, Chitwan.

doi: https://doi.org/10.21467/ajgr.2.1.12-24

*Corresponding Author email: <u>hpchalise@gmail.com</u>

Article History Received: 27 February 2017 Revised: 6 March 2017 Accepted: 16 March 2017 Published: 17 March 2017

Student(s)

Upadhyay H.P

Academic Year: 2015-16, IInd Semester Course Level: Master Degree Course Name: Master in Statistics

 \bigcirc ourse year: 2^{nd} Year / IV^{th} Semester

Mentor(s)

Bhusal M

ABSTRACT

Malnutrition among under five year of age group is a major underlying cause of the child morbidity and mortality in many developing countries like Nepal. Nutritional status of children is a proxy indicator for assessing the health status of entire population. This study was carried out to evaluate the nutritional status of the most vulnerable age group of children (0-59 months) and to identify different factors associated with it. Generally three anthropometric indicators were often used to assess the nutritional status of children height-for-age, weight-for-height and weight-for-age. This study is based on data of Nepal Demographic and Health Survey. WHO Anthro software and IBM SPSS 20 were used to analyze the data. The prevalence of the malnutrition (stunted, wasted and underweight) was found in the different aspect. This study consists of 2334 children of under five years age groups, out of this 50.9% were male children and 49.1% were female children, the prevalence of the stunted is 41%, wasted 29% and underweight 11%. The prevalence of diarrhea during the data collection period is 13.80% and prevalence of fever during the data collection period is 18.70%. The statistically significant factor were body mass index of mother, wealth index, size of child at birth and education of mother and smoking habit of mother. Malnutrition (stunted, wasted and underweight) still remains as a major health problem in our country Nepal. So, our government should be focused on those factors which are significantly associated with malnutrition like health care during pregnancy period, education of mother etc. Significant number of under-five children's of Nepal was malnourished. Maternal factors have significant association with nutritional status of the children which include extra diet in pregnancy and lactation, iron supplements in pregnancy, exclusive breast feeding for six months.

Keywords: Nutritional status, Malnutrition, Stunted, Wasted, Underweight



1. Introduction

Nepal is a landlocked country between India and China. Nepal is among the poorest countries in the world, 25.2% percent of the population lives below the poverty line and ranks of 157th out of 187 countries [1]. Malnutrition is a major public health and nutrition problem in Nepal. It is a major underlying cause of the child morbidity and mortality in Nepal [1-3]. Nutrition is the sum of total process involved in utilization of food substance with growth, repair and maintenance of body accomplished. It is the organic process by which an organism assimilates food and uses it for growth and maintenance. Nutrition is the utilization of food to grow, repair and maintain our body and also getting the right amount of nutrients from healthy foods in the right combination. Nutrition has special significance in countries with disadvantages in socioeconomic and hygienic standards. Growth and development of human beings are influenced by genetic and environmental factors. Nutritional status of children is important because it determines their health status, physical growth, development and their academic performance in their life [2]. Nutritious foods are essential for all age but their need is higher during childhood especially under five years of age for growing [3]. Good nutrition combined with regular exercise is concerned with a good health and poor nutrition leads to reduced immunity, increases susceptibility to disease which reduces the productivity. Under nutrition is a condition in which there is inadequate consumption, poor absorption or excessive loss of nutrients. It is a major modifiable and powerful element in promoting health, preventing and treating disease and improving the quality of life. Nutritional status is a key indicator of health assessment [4]. An ideal nutritional status occurs when the supply of nutrients conforms to the nutritional requirements or needs. Good nutrition is the cornerstone for survival, health and development of the country [3-4].

2. Operational Definition

2.1 Anthropometric Measurements

Anthropometric measurements were carried out to access the degree of malnutrition in children under 5 years of age from all below minus two standard deviation units (<-2SD).

2.2 Stunted

Children of aged 0 to 59 months having the index value for height for age below minus two standard deviation units (<-2SD).

2.3 Wasted

Children of aged 0 to 59 months having the index value for weight for height below minus two standard deviation units (<-2SD).

2.4 Underweight

Children of aged 0 to 59 months having the index value for weight for age below minus two standard deviation units (<-2SD).

2.5 Overweight

Children of aged 0 to 59 months having the index value for weight for age, over two standard deviation units (>2SD).

2.6 Birth Weight of Baby

Birth weight less than 2.5 kg (2500 gram) was considered as low birth weight and birth weight equal to or more than 2.5 kg was considered as normal weight (NDHS, 2011).

2.7 Maternal Height

The height of mother less than 145 cm was considered as low height and height greater than or equal to 145 cm was considered as normal height (NDHS, 2011) [6].

2.8 Body Mass Index (BMI)

Body mass index is defined as weight in kilogram of the individual divided by the square of the height in the meter, which is used to determine the nutrition status of the mother. {< 18.5 kg/m² Underweight}, {18.5 - 24.99 kg/m², Normal} & { ≥ 25 kg/m² Overweight}.

2.9 Sampling Methods

NDHS had taken the data beginning from 2 February 2011 and the fieldwork was completed on 14 June 2011. For the survey country is broadly divided into three horizontal zones namely mountain, hill and terai. Vertically the country is divided into five development regions. The cross-section of these zones and regions result in 15-eco development regions, which are referred to in the NDHS as sub regions.

3. Methods Used in Data Analysis

The data was analyzed by using descriptive and inferential statistics. In the descriptive statistics, different tools such as frequency distribution, bar diagram, pie-chart, percentage diagram were used to show the nutritional status of the children. In bivariate analysis, to test the significance of association between dependent variable (nutritional status) and independent variables (associated factors), Chi-square test was used. Odds ratio and its confidence interval was also calculated to examine strength of association between the variables and its limit. Only for those variables which were statistically significant in bivariate analysis, binary logistic regression was performed to examine the net effect of each independent variable on the nutritional status. Logistic regression was carried out by taking nutritional status as a dependent variable and other significant factors as independent variables. Three logistic regression models were performed separately for stunted, wasted and underweight respectively. P-values less than 0.05 were considered as significant (level of significance 5%). If p-value > level of significance ($\alpha = 0.05$) then corresponding H_o was accepted otherwise rejected.

4 Result and Analysis

4.1 Prevalence of Malnutrition

Figure 1 shows that the prevalence of malnutrition in the children. This study shows that 27.03% children had some kind of malnutrition (stunted, wasted and underweight) in Nepal [6].



Figure 1: Pie-diagram showing the Prevalence of malnutrition

Statistical Analysis on Nutritional Status and its Associated Factors of Under Five Years Children in Nepal

4.2 Prevalence of Malnutrition by Different Characteristics

Figure 2 shows the prevalence of nutritional status of under five year children. The finding showed that 41% children were stunted. Similarly, 29% were underweight and 11 % were wasted [6].It shows that prevalence of malnutrition (Stunting, wasting and underweight) is still major health problems among children of under 5 years, in Nepal. Effective strategies such as community based regular growth monitoring, nutritional counseling and referral mechanism should be adopted by health workers to control these problems.



Figure 2: Prevalence of nutritional status of under five year children

4.3 Prevalence of Malnutrition by Gender Wise

Figure 3 shows that the prevalence of nutritional status of male and female children. Findings showed that 41.40% of the male children were stunted, 29.30% of the male children were underweight and 12.60 % were wasted. Similarly, 39.50% of the female children were stunted, 28% were underweight and 9.79% were wasted [6]. Which shows that male children were more likely to have all type of malnutrition as compare to female children.



Figure 3: Nutritional status of male and female children

Table 1 shows that nutritional status of under five year children according to WHO criteria. From this finding it shows that 16.7% of the child were severe stunted and 22.8% were moderate stunted, 2.5% of the children were severe wasting and 6.4% of the children were moderate, and 7.7% of the children were sever underweight, 20.4% of the children were moderately underweight. Table 2 shows the association between malnutrition (Stunted) and different variables. To find the association chi-square test was applied. The statistically significant association of malnutrition as defined by height –for– age with the following variables: Place of residence, ethnicity, wealth index and size of child at birth, number of childre

 Table1: Nutritional status of under-five year children according to WHO criteria.

Characteristics	Percentage
Height for age (Stunted)	
< -3SD (Severe Stunted)	16.7
-3 SD \leq Z \leq -2SD (Moderate Stunted)	22.8
-2 SD \leq Z \leq 2SD (Normal)	58.3
\geq 2SD (Overweight and Obesity)	1.2
Weight for Height (Wasted)	
< -3SD (Severe Wasting)	2.5
$-3 \text{ SD} \le Z \le -2 \text{SD}$ (Moderate Wasted)	6.4
$-2 \text{ SD} \le Z \le 2 \text{SD}$ (Normal)	86.5
\geq 2SD (Overweight and Obesity)	2.3
Weight for age (Underweight)	
< -3 SD (Severe Underweight)	7.7
-3 SD \leq Z \leq -2SD (Moderate Underweight)	20.4
$-2 \text{ SD} \le Z \le 2 \text{ SD}$ (Normal)	70.9
\geq 2SD (Overweight and Obesity)	0.5

index and size of child at birth, number of children in home, age of mother, education of mother, smoking habit of mother, ANC checkup, height of mother, BMI of mother. Regarding the place of residence, due to

the deficiency of health institution and geographical difficulties the prevalence of malnutrition (stunted) is higher among rural area (45.3%) as compare to urban areas (29.1%) children. Among the ethnicity, dalit children (51.1%) are stunted than other ethnic groups. Regarding wealth index, children of poor family (52.9%) are stunted as compare to others family.

YesNoPlace of Residence843(45.3)1017(54.7)40.73<0.001Rural138(29.1)336(70.9)40.73<0.001Ethniciy29(51.1)219(48.9)11Dalit229(51.1)219(48.9)25.63<0.001Inamin/Chhetri361(40.9)522(59.1)25.63<0.001Jangita/Never274(39.5)420(60.5)11Other70(44.6)87(55.4)11Other630(52.9)561(47.1)11Poor630(52.9)561(47.1)11Middle167(37.7)276(62.3)132.4<0.001Rich180(26.3)51(73.7)132.4<0.001Size of child birth1276(52.3)132.4<0.001Size of child birth2507(47.1)11Average586(40.7)83(55.6)22<0.00114rge128(53.9)279(54.1)1112 5133.4128(53.9)35(52.0)2.00.012 42001101(65.9)1112 5133.4128(54.1)116(6.9)112 4203(3)101(65.9)1112 5133.4101(65.9)1112 6133.1101(65.9)1112 7134.1101(65.9)1112 7134.1101(65.9)111	Characteristics	Malnutrition ((HAZ)	χ^2	P-value
Place of ResidenceImage of ResidenceImage of ResidenceImage of ResidenceRural184(5.3)107(54.7)40.001Rural184(5.3)336(70.9)Image of ResidenceEthnicity229(51.1)230(70.9)25.63<0.0011Brahmin/Chetri361(40.5)252(59.1)25.63<0.0011Janjati/Newer274(39.5)420(60.5)Image of ResidenceMusim47(30.9)105(69.1)Image of ResidenceImage of ResidenceOther704.60704.60Image of ResidenceImage of ResidenceOther704.61704.61Image of ResidenceImage of ResidenceNational163(7.7)276(6.2.10)Image of ResidenceImage of ResidenceStational184(23.0)276(6.2.10)Image of ResidenceImage of ResidenceAverage164(31.7)201(7.1)Image of ResidenceImage of ResidenceAverage164(53.1)121(19.1)Image of ResidenceImage of ResidenceAverage164(54.1)121(19.1)Image of ResidenceImage of Residence2430(30.1)121(19.1)Image of ResidenceImage of Residence25164(54.1)121(19.1)Image of ResidenceImage of Residence26164(54.1)121(19.1)Image of ResidenceImage of Residence27164(19.1)Image of ResidenceImage of ResidenceImage of Residence26164(54.1)101(69.1)Image of ResidenceImage of Residence		Yes	No		
Rural843(45.3)1017(54.7)40.73<0001Urban138(29.1)336(70.9)IIDalit229(51.1)219(48.9)IIBrahminChhetri236(10.9)522(59.1)25.63<0011	Place of Residence				
Urban138(29.1)336(70.9)IIEthnicityI29(51.1)219(48.9)IIDailt229(51.1)219(48.9)25.63<0.001	Rural	843(45.3)	1017(54.7)	40.73	< 0.001
EthnicityIndexIndexIndexIndexDails229(51)219(48.9)25.63.0001Brahmin/Chhetri37(40.9)52(59.1)25.63.0011Janjati/Newer274(30.5)420(60.5)Muslin47(30.9)105(91.1)Other70(4.6)87(59.1)Other630(52.9)561(47.1)Middle187(2.7)276(2.3)132.4.0001Size of child birth274(53.9)276(6.3)Swall187(2.7)276(5.3)Average586(40.7)292(65.6)Aurage183(3.4)292(65.6)Aurage183(4.1)292(65.6)25184(4.7)355(52.6)242030877(64)25194(4.8)194(14.9)261933(1)101(6.9)2020603.1)101(6.9)2020193(14)35(52.0)6.58.12020101(6.1)2120101(6.1)2220193(14)101(6.1)<	Urban	138(29.1)	336(70.9)		
Dalit229(51.1)219(48.9)IIBrahmin/Chhetri361(40.9)522(59.1)25.63<0.001	Ethnicity				
Brahmin/Chhetri $361(40.9)$ $522(59.1)$ 25.63 <0001 Janajat/Newer $274(39.5)$ $420(60.5)$ $<$ $<$ Muslim $47(30.9)$ $105(69.1)$ $<$ $<$ Other $630(52.9)$ $87(55.4)$ $<$ $<$ Wealth index $630(52.9)$ $561(47.1)$ $<$ $<$ Middle $167(37.7)$ $276(62.3)$ 132.4 <0.001 Size of child birth $184(26.3)$ $516(73.7)$ $<$ $<$ Small $242(53.9)$ $207(46.1)$ $<$ $<$ Average $586(40.7)$ $854(59.3)$ 37.69 <0.001 Large $153(34.4)$ $292(65.6)$ $<$ $<$ Number of children in home $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ 2 $493(36)$ $877(64)$ $<$ $<$ 2 $493(36)$ $877(64)$ $<$ $<$ 2 0001 59.31 <0.001 $<$	Dalit	229(51.1)	219(48.9)		
Janajati/Newer274(39.5)420(60.5)IIMuslim47(30.9)105(69.1)IIOther70(44.6)87(55.4)IIWealth index70(44.6)85(55.4)IIPoor630(52.9)561(47.1)IIMiddle167(37.7)276(62.3)132.4<0.001	Brahmin/Chhetri	361(40.9)	522(59.1)	25.63	< 0.001
Muslim47(30.9)105(69.1)IIOther70(44.6)87(55.4)IIWealth index70(44.6)87(55.4)IIPoor630(52.9)561(47.1)IIMiddle167(37.7)276(62.3)132.4<0.001	Janajati/Newer	274(39.5)	420(60.5)		
Other70(44.6)87(55.4)InterpretationWealth indexImage: Solid Art 1.1Image: Solid Art 1.1Image: Solid Art 1.1Poor630(52.9)516(47.1)Image: Solid Art 1.1Image: Solid Art 1.1Niddle167(37.7)276(62.3)132.4<0.001	Muslim	47(30.9)	105(69.1)		
Wealth indexImage: state of the	Other	70(44.6)	87(55.4)		
Poor $630(52.9)$ $561(47.1)$ IIMiddle $167(37.7)$ $276(62.3)$ 132.4 <0.001 Rich $182(63.9)$ $516(73.7)$ 132.4 <0.001 Size of child birth $242(53.9)$ $207(46.1)$ IAverage $586(40.7)$ $854(59.3)$ 37.69 <0.001 Large $153(34.4)$ $292(65.6)$ IINumber of children in homeIIII $2 \leq 5$ $168(58.1)$ $121(41.9)$ II 3.4 $320(47.4)$ $355(52.6)$ 20.24 <0.001 $2 \leq 2$ $493(36)$ $877(64)$ II $20-29$ $50(33.1)$ $101(66.9)$ II $20-29$ $621(40)$ $930(60)$ 59.31 <0.001 $2 = 30$ $310(49.1)$ $322(50.9)$ IIEducation of motherIIIIPrimary $204(44.8)$ $251(55.2)$ 96.58 <0.001 Secondary $206(30.9)$ $461(69.1)$ IIHigher $55(64)$ $87(36)$ 53.73 <0.001 No $826(39.5)$ $1266(60.5)$ IIIYes $558(35.8)$ $999(64.2)$ IINo $422(54.4)$ $999(64.2)$ IIHight of motherIIIIYes $558(35.8)$ $999(64.2)$ IINo $422(54.9)$ $1245(60.3)$ 73.614 <0.001 Yes $558(35.8)$	Wealth index				
Middle 167(37.7) 276(62.3) 132.4 <0.001 Rich 184(26.3) 516(73.7) Small 242(53.9) 207(46.1) Average 586(40.7) 854(59.3) 37.69 <0.001	Poor	630(52.9)	561(47.1)		
Rich 184(26.3) 516(73.7) Interpret in the second seco	Middle	167(37.7)	276(62.3)	132.4	< 0.001
Size of child birth Interval Interval Interval Small $242(53.9)$ $207(46.1)$ Image	Rich	184(26.3)	516(73.7)		
Small242(53.9)207(46.1)IAverage586(40.7)854(59.3)37.69<0.001	Size of child birth				
Average $586(40.7)$ $854(59.3)$ 37.69 <0.001 Large $153(34.4)$ $292(65.6)$ $<$ $<$ Number of children in home $<$ $<$ $<$ ≥ 5 $168(58.1)$ $121(41.9)$ $<$ $<$ 3.4 $320(47.4)$ $355(52.6)$ 20.24 <0.001 ≤ 2 $493(36)$ $877(64)$ $<$ $<$ $<$ age of mother at first birth $<$ $<$ $<$ < 200 $50(33.1)$ $101(66.9)$ $<$ $<$ 20.29 $621(40)$ $930(60)$ 59.31 <0.001 ≥ 30 $310(9.1)$ $322(59.9)$ $<$ $<$ Education of mother $<$ $<$ $<$ No education $546(50.6)$ $534(49.4)$ $<$ $<$ Primary $204(44.8)$ $251(55.2)$ 96.58 <0.001 Secondary $206(30.9)$ $461(69.1)$ $<$ $<$ Higher $25(18.9)$ $107(81.1)$ $<$ $<$ Smoking Habit of mother $<$ $<$ $<$ No $826(39.5)$ $1266(60.5)$ $<$ $<$ No $423(54.4)$ $354(45.6)$ 73.614 <0.001 Yes $558(35.8)$ $999(64.2)$ $<$ $<$ No $423(54.4)$ $354(45.6)$ 73.614 <0.001 Yes $558(35.8)$ $999(64.2)$ $<$ $<$ No $423(54.4)$ $354(45.6)$ 73.614 <0.001 Yes $558(35.8)$ $999(64.2)$ $<$ $<$ N	Small	242(53.9)	207(46.1)		
Large 153(34.4) 292(65.6) I Number of children in home I I I ≥ 5 168(58.1) 121(41.9) I I 3-4 320(47.4) 355(52.6) 20.24 <0.001	Average	586(40.7)	854(59.3)	37.69	< 0.001
Number of children in home Image: Number of children in home Image: Number of children in home Image: Number of children in home ≥ 5 168(58.1) 121(41.9) 3.4 320(47.4) 355(52.6) 20.24 <0.001	Large	153(34.4)	292(65.6)		
≥ 5168(58.1)121(41.9)3-4320(47.4)355(52.6)20.24<0.001	Number of children in home	,			
$3-4$ $320(47.4)$ $355(52.6)$ 20.24 <0.001 ≤ 2 $493(36)$ $877(64)$ $<$ $<$ Age of mother at first birth $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ < 20 $50(33.1)$ $101(66.9)$ $<$ $<$ $20-29$ $621(40)$ $930(60)$ 59.31 <0.001 ≥ 30 $310(49.1)$ $322(50.9)$ $<$ $<$ Education of mother $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ No education $546(50.6)$ $534(49.4)$ $<$ $<$ Primary $204(44.8)$ $251(55.2)$ 96.58 <0.001 Secondary $206(30.9)$ $461(69.1)$ $<$ $<$ Higher $25(18.9)$ $107(81.1)$ $<$ $<$ Smoking Habit of mother $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ Yes $155(64)$ $87(36)$ 53.73 <0.001 No $826(39.5)$ $1266(60.5)$ $<$ $<$ No $423(54.4)$ $354(45.6)$ 73.614 <0.001	≥5	168(58.1)	121(41.9)		
≤2493(36)877(64)IIAge of mother at first birthIIII <20 50(33.1)101(66.9)59.31<0.001	3-4	320(47.4)	355(52.6)	20.24	< 0.001
Age of mother at first birthImage: model of the set of the se	≤2	493(36)	877(64)		
< 2050(33.1)101(66.9)20-29621(40)930(60)59.31<0.001	Age of mother at first birth				
20-29 621(40) 930(60) 59.31 <0.001 ≥ 30 310(49.1) 322(50.9) Education of mother No education 546(50.6) 534(49.4) Primary 204(44.8) 251(55.2) 96.58 <0.001	< 20	50(33.1)	101(66.9)		
≥ 30 $310(49.1)$ $322(50.9)$ $ $ $ $ Education of mother $ $ $ $ No education $546(50.6)$ $534(49.4)$ $ $ $ $ Primary $204(44.8)$ $251(55.2)$ 96.58 <0.001 Secondary $206(30.9)$ $461(69.1)$ $ $ $ $ Higher $25(18.9)$ $107(81.1)$ $ $ $ $ Smoking Habit of mother $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ Yes $155(64)$ $87(36)$ 53.73 <0.001 No $826(39.5)$ $1266(60.5)$ $ $ $ $ No $423(54.4)$ $354(45.6)$ 73.614 <0.001 Yes $558(35.8)$ $999(64.2)$ $ $ $ $ Height of mother $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ < 145 cm	20-29	621(40)	930(60)	59.31	< 0.001
Education of motherImage: state of the state	≥ 30	310(49.1)	322(50.9)		
No education $546(50.6)$ $534(49.4)$ InterfactPrimary $204(44.8)$ $251(55.2)$ 96.58 <0.001 Secondary $206(30.9)$ $461(69.1)$ InterfactInterfactHigher $25(18.9)$ $107(81.1)$ InterfactInterfactSmoking Habit of mother $25(18.9)$ $107(81.1)$ InterfactInterfactYes $155(64)$ $87(36)$ 53.73 <0.001 No $826(39.5)$ $1266(60.5)$ InterfactInterfactNo $423(54.4)$ $354(45.6)$ 73.614 <0.001 Yes $558(35.8)$ $999(64.2)$ InterfactInterfactIntegration of motherInterfactInterfactInterfact < 145 cm $162(60)$ $108(40)$ 40.462 <0.001 ≥ 145 cm $819(39.7)$ $1245(60.3)$ InterfactInterfactSMI of motherInterfactInterfactInterfactInterfact < 145 cm $204(50.4)$ $777(40.3)$ 13.988 <0.001	Education of mother				
Primary $204(44.8)$ $251(55.2)$ 96.58 <0.001 Secondary $206(30.9)$ $461(69.1)$ $Higher25(18.9)107(81.1)Smoking Habit of motherYes155(64)87(36)53.73<0.001No826(39.5)1266(60.5)ANC checkupNo423(54.4)354(45.6)73.614<0.001Yes558(35.8)999(64.2)Height of mother< 145 cm$	No education	546(50.6)	534(49.4)		
Secondary $206(30.9)$ $461(69.1)$ IHigher $25(18.9)$ $107(81.1)$ ISmoking Habit of motherIIIYes $155(64)$ $87(36)$ 53.73 <0.001 No $826(39.5)$ $1266(60.5)$ IIANC checkupIIIINo $423(54.4)$ $354(45.6)$ 73.614 <0.001 Yes $558(35.8)$ $999(64.2)$ IIHeight of motherIIII< 145 cm	Primary	204(44.8)	251(55.2)	96.58	< 0.001
Higher $25(18.9)$ $107(81.1)$ $$ $$ Smoking Habit of mother $$ $Yes155(64)87(36)53.73<0.001No826(39.5)1266(60.5)$	Secondary	206(30.9)	461(69.1)		
Smoking Habit of motherImage: constraint	Higher	25(18.9)	107(81.1)		
Yes155(64) $87(36)$ 53.73 <0.001 No $826(39.5)$ $1266(60.5)$ $ANC checkupNo423(54.4)354(45.6)73.614<0.001Yes558(35.8)999(64.2)Height of mother< 145 cm$	Smoking Habit of mother				
No $826(39.5)$ $1266(60.5)$ IANC checkupImage: Constraint of the const	Yes	155(64)	87(36)	53.73	< 0.001
ANC checkupImage: constraint of the symbolImage: constraint of the symbolImage: constraint of the symbolNo $423(54.4)$ $354(45.6)$ 73.614 <0.001 Yes $558(35.8)$ $999(64.2)$ Image: constraint of the symbolImage: constraint of the symbolHeight of motherImage: constraint of the symbol $108(40)$ 40.462 <0.001 < 145 cm $162(60)$ $108(40)$ 40.462 <0.001 ≥ 145 cm $819(39.7)$ $1245(60.3)$ Image: constraint of the symbol $<145.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$ $< 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$ $204(50.4)$ $777(40.3)$ 13.988 <0.001	No	826(39.5)	1266(60.5)		
No $423(54.4)$ $354(45.6)$ 73.614 <0.001 Yes $558(35.8)$ $999(64.2)$ $<$ $<$ Height of mother $<$ $<$ $<$ < 145 cm	ANC checkup				
Yes $558(35.8)$ $999(64.2)$ Image: constraint of motherHeight of motherImage: constraint of motherImage: constraint of motherImage: constraint of mother $< 145 \text{ cm}$ $819(39.7)$ $1245(60.3)$ Image: constraint of motherBMI of motherImage: constraint of motherImage: constraint of motherImage: constraint of mother $< 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$ $204(50.4)$ $777(40.3)$ 13.988 <0.001	No	423(54.4)	354(45.6)	73.614	< 0.001
Height of mother Image: Figure 162 (60)	Yes	558(35.8)	999(64.2)		
< 145 cm162(60)108(40)40.462<0.001 \geq 145 cm819(39.7)1245(60.3) BMI of mother </td <td>Height of mother</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Height of mother				
≥ 145 cm 819(39.7) 1245(60.3) BMI of mother < 18.5 kg/m²	< 145 cm	162(60)	108(40)	40.462	<0.001
BMI of mother Comparison Comp	≥ 145 cm	819(39.7)	1245(60.3)		
<18.5 kg/m ² 204(50.4) 777(40.3) 13.988 <0.001	BMI of mother				
	< 18.5 kg/m ²	204(50.4)	777(40.3)	13.988	<0.001
$\geq 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$ 201(49.6) 1152(59.7)	$\geq 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$	201(49.6)	1152(59.7)		

 Table 2: Association between malnutrition (Stunted) and different variables

This study revealed that women and children of very poor and poor (low economic status) families have the highest rates of malnutrition. This may be due to food insecurity in these families that negatively impacts the nutritional status of women and children as well as the other household members. Therefore measures should include government action to support the very poor, poor and to bring about rapid economic growth at the national level. To this effect, it is important to develop community-based interventions giving priority to very poor households as a short-term solution. Children who are small in size at birth are stunted (53.9%) as compare to average and large size at birth. Regarding number of children in home, those families who have more number of children (\geq 5) are more stunted as compare to other, which shows that there is positive relationship between number of children in home and malnutrition (stunted). Literate mothers had less number of stunted children in comparison with illiterate mother, on the basis of present findings; it is recommended educating the primary child-caretakers (mothers) that improve women's awareness of appropriate feeding practices can improve the nutritional status of children, which can be obtained by using multiple interventional strategies. The prevalence of malnutrition (stunted) on children was higher among those mothers who were tobacco product (smoking habit) so, that tobacco product should be avoided from all the community to decrease the rate of malnutrition. The prevalence of stunted in children is less among the adequate ANC checkup mothers (≥4 times). Efforts should be made to improve the ANC services during pregnancy period. Place of delivery and care during the delivery are the most important factors associated with malnutrition so, the concerned authority should increase the incentive for promotion of institutional delivery and knowledge on choosing the appropriate age for the pregnancy and nutritional diet during the pregnancy. Regarding height of the mother the prevalence of stunted in children is less among those mother whose height is \geq 145 cm. The prevalence of stunted in children is less among those mother whose BMI is in normal range.

Table 3 shows the association between malnutrition (wasted) and different characteristics. Regarding wealth index, 12.2% children of poor family are wasted as compare to others family. Statistically significant variables in wasted were: wealth index, size of the child at birth, education of mother, sex of child, height of mother, BMI of mother, number of children in home and family size. This finding revealed that women and children of very poor or poor (low economic status) households have the highest rates of malnutrition as compare to middle and rich. 14.5% children who are small in size at birth wasted as compare to average and large size at birth. Literate mothers had less number of wasted children in comparison with illiterate mother, on the basis of present findings; it is recommended educating the primary child-caretakers (mothers) that improve women's awareness of appropriate feeding practices can improve the nutritional status of children, which can be obtained by using multiple interventional strategies. Regarding the sex of children, 12.2% male children are wasted; this prevalence is a bit high as among girl's children. The prevalence of wasted is high among those children who are born from the less height mother (<145 cm). Those mother whose BMI is less than 18.5 kg/m² had more number of children wasted. Regarding the number of children in home, that family who had less number of children have low prevalence of wasted, those families who have more number of children (≥ 5) are more wasted as compare to other, which shows that there is positive relationship between number of children in home and malnutrition (stunted). And in small family have less number of children are wasted. So, government should make the plan for small family which helps to decrease the rate of malnutrition.

Characteristics	racteristics Malnutrition(WHZ)		χ^2	P-value
	Yes	No		
Wealth index				
Poor	140(12.2)	389(87.8)		
Middle	54(11.8)	1051(88.2)	8.99	0.011
Rich	54(7.7)	646(92.3)		
Size of child birth	I			
Small	65(14.5)	384(85.5)		
Average	154(10.7)	1286(89.3)	14.93	0.001
Large	29(6.5)	416(93.5)		
Education of mother				
No education	145(13.4)	935(86.6)		
Primary	46(10.1)	409(89.9)		< 0.001
Secondary	48(7.2)	619(92.8)	19.32	
Higher	9(6.8)	123(93.2)		
Sex of child				
Female	100 (8.9)	1022(91.1)		
Male	148(12.2)	1064(87.8)	6.66	0.01
Height of mother				
<145 cm	121(17.6)	566(82.4)		
≥145 cm	164(10)	1483(90)		< 0.001
BMI of mother				
<18.5 kg/m ²	166(24.2)	521(75.8)		
\geq 18.5 kg/m ²	239(14.6)	1408(85.4)	16.59	<0.001
Number of children in home				
≥5	47(16.3)	242(83.7)		
3-4	75(11.1)	600(88.9)	12.78	< 0.001
≤2	126(9.2)	1244(90.8)		
Family size				
≥7	106(12.7)	726(87.3)		
5-6	91(11)	740(89)	10.48	<0.001
≤ 4	51(7.6)	620(92.4)		

Table 3: Association between malnutrition (wasted) and different characteristics as

18

**The figure in parenthesis indicates percentage

Table 4 shows the association between malnutrition (Underweight) and different variables. Statistically, significant variables were: place of residence, ethnicity, smoking habit of the mother, place of delivery, wealth index, size of child at birth, education of mother, ANC checkup, age of mother, number of children in home, BMI of mother and height of mother.

Statistical Analysis on Nutritional Status and its Associate	d Factors of Under Five Years Children in Nepal
--	---

Characteristics	Malnutrition(WA	Z)	χ^2	P-value
	Yes	No		
Place of Residence				
Rural	596(32)	1264(68)		
Urban	91(19.2)	383(80.8)	30.00	< 0.001
Ethinicity				
Dalit	177(39.5)	271(60.5)		
Brahmin/Chhetri	239(27.1)	644(72.9)		
Janajati/ Newer	180(25.9)	514(74.1)	35 50	
	180(23.3)	119(77.6)	55.59	-0.001
Muslim	34(22.4)	118(77.6)		<0.001
Other	57(36.31	100(63.7)		
Smoking Habit of mother				
Yes	111(45.9)	131(54.1)		
No	576(27.5)	1516(72.5)	35.10	< 0.001
Place of delivery				
Respondent home	520(35.3)	952(64.7)	I	
Government hospital/PHC center/Health /sub-health post	133(21.6)	482(78.4)	75.26	
private hospital/clinic	16(10.6)	139(89.7)		<0.001
NGO(FPAN/UMN/Other)	6(14.3)	36(85.7)		
Other	12(24)	38(76)		
Wealth index				
Poor	443(37.2)	748(62.8)		
Middle	121(20.6)	212(70.4)	04.09	<0.001
	131(29.0)	512(70.4)	94.08	<0.001
Rich	113(16.1)	587(83.9)		
Size of child birth	104(42.2)	255(56.9)		
Small	194(43.2)	255(56.8)		
Average	405(28.1)	1035(71.9)	62.18	
Large	88(19.8)	357(80.2)		< 0.001
Education of mother				
No education	429(39.7)	651(60.3)		
Primary	124(27.3)	331(72.7)	132.2	< 0.001
Secondary	123(18.4)	544(81.6)		
Higher	11(8.3)	121(91.7)		
ANC check up				
No	270(34.7)	507(65.3)		
Yes	417(26.8)	1140(73.2)	15.84	<0.001
Age of Mother at first birth	39(25.8)	112(74.2)		
20-29	434(28)	1112(74.2)	8 4 8	0.014
> 30	214(33.9)	418(66.1)	0.10	0.011
Number of children in home		, ,		
≥5	122(42.2)	1040(75.9)		
4-3	235(34.8)	440(65.2)	50.99	< 0.001
≥∠ BMI of mother	330(24.1)	10/(3/.8)		
<18.5 kg/m ²	166(41)	239(59)		
$\geq 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$	521(27)	1408(73)	31.49	< 0.001
Height of Mother	110/42 7	150/550		
< 145 cm	118(43.7)	152(56.3)	20.02	<0.001
≥ 145 CIII	+ 509(27.0) **The figure in par	enthesis indicates percent	29.93 age	<0.001

Table 4: Association between main	utrition (Und	derweight) and	different	variables
-----------------------------------	---------------	----------------	-----------	-----------

ISSN: 2456-7108

Upadhyay et al., Adv. J. Grad. Res.; Vol. 2, Issue 1, pp: 12-24, July 2017

Regarding the place of residence, due to the deficiency of health institution and geographical difficulties the prevalence of malnutrition (Underweight) is higher among rural area (32%) as compare to urban areas children. Among the ethnicity, dalit children (39.5%) are stunted than other ethnic groups, so the emphasis should be given to the poor ethnic groups. The prevalence of malnutrition (Underweight) on children was higher among those mothers who were tobacco product (smoking habit) so, that tobacco product should be avoided from all the community to decrease the rate of malnutrition (Underweight). The prevalence of underweight in children is high among those mothers who are delivery in home. Regarding wealth index, 37.2% children of poor family are underweight as compare to others family. 43.2% children who are small in size at birth underweight as compare to average and large size of child at birth. Literate mothers had less number of underweight children in comparison with illiterate mother, on the basis of present findings; it is recommended educating the primary child-caretakers (mothers) that improve women's awareness of appropriate feeding practices can improve the nutritional status (underweight) of children, which can be obtained by using multiple interventional strategies. The prevalence of underweight is less among those children whose mother had visit the adequate ANC checkup (≥ 4) . Those mothers whose age is less than 20 years had more children underweight. The prevalence of underweight is less among those families having less number of children. Regarding the number of children in home, the family having more number of children had more children underweight. Those mother whose BMI is less than 18.5 kg/m² had more number of children underweight. The prevalence of underweight is high among those children who are born from the less height mother (<145 cm).

4.4 Test of Multicollinearity

The presences of multicollinearity within the set of independent variables for stunted, wasted and underweight were determined by using variance inflation factor. Here all variance inflation factors were less than ten so, there doesn't exist multicollinearity between independents variables

4.4.1 For Stunted

Binary logistic regressions were used for statistically significant variables. Table 5 shows the output of logistic regression of stunted for different characteristics. Regarding the wealth index, children of middle class mother were 1.69 (with 95% CI = 1.31 to 2.18) times stunted as compared to those children who were born by the rich class mother and 2.31 (with 95% CI = 73 to 2.98) times as compared to those children who were born by the poor class mother. This result was also found to be statistically significant. Children who were average in size at birth were 1.32 times (with 95% CI = 1.00 to 1.72) stunted as compared to those children who were large in size at birth and who are small in size were 1.56 (with 95% CI = 1.19 to 2.04) times stunted as compared to those children who were large in size at birth. Children who born from the mother with smoking habit were 1.5 (with 95% CI = 1.17 to 1.91) times stunted as compared to those children who were born from the nonsmoking mother. Children who were born from the not ANC checkup mother were 2.18 (with 95% CI = 1.83 to 2.60) times stunted as compared to those children who were born from ANC checkup mother. Children who were born from those mother whose height is <145 cm were 1.49 (with 95% CI = 1.15 to 1.98) times stunted as compared to those children who were born from the mother whose height is greater than or equal to 145 cm. Children who were born from those mother whose BMI is <18.5kg/m² were 1.47 (with 95%) CI = 1.14 to 1.89) times stunted as compare to those children who were born from those mother whose BMI was greater than or equal to 18.5 kg/m^2 .

Statistical Analvsis on	Nutritional Status and	tits Associated	Factors of Under	Five Years	Children in Neval

Characteristics	В	SE	OR	P-value	95% C.I. f	or OR
					Lower	Upper
Wealth index						
Rich(Ref.)						
Middle	0.3	0.14	1.69	< 0.001	1.31	2.18
Poor	0.57	0.05	2.31	0.03	1.73	2.98
Size of child at birth						
Large (Ref.)						
Average	0.03	0.01	1.32	< 0.001	1.00	1.72
Small	-0.25	0.12	1.56	0.04	1.19	2.04
Smoking habit of mother						
No (Ref.)						
Yes	0.22	0.07	1.5	< 0.001	1.17	1.91
ANC check up						
Yes (Ref.)						
No	0.42	0.1	2.18	< 0.001	1.83	2.60
Height of mother						
≥145 cm (Ref.)						
<145 cm	0.79	0.14	1.49	< 0.001	1.15	1.98
BMI of mother						
$\geq 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (Ref.)						
<18.5 kg/m ²	0.27	0.12	1.47	0.02	1.14	1.89
Constant	-0.45	0.23	0.64	0.05		

Table 5: /	ogistic regre	ssion of stunted	l for differen	t characteristics
Table 3. L	Delsile regre	ssion of similed		

4.4.1.1 Model Adequacy Test

In binary logistic regression Hosmer- Lemeshow test statistic was used for the model adequacy test. For this test a model is said to be poor fit if the p-value is less than 0.05. Here for stunted chi-square value is 11.61 with 8 degree of freedom and p-value is 0.17, which implies that there is no significant difference between observed and predicted values indicating that model fit the data at an acceptable level. Strength of logistic regression relationship is computed from the pseudo R- square value. In logistic regression model indication of the amount of variation in the dependent variable is given by Cox & Snell R-square and Nagelkerker R-square which are described as pseudo R-square. The results in the Table 6 reveals that the value are 0.113 and 0.152 respectively suggesting that between 11.3% and 15.2% of the variation in response variable is explained by the set of independent variables used in the model.

Table 6: Pseudo R-Square	Value of The	Model for	• Stunted.
--------------------------	--------------	-----------	------------

-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
2929.57	0.113	0.152

4.4.2 For Wasted

Binary logistic regressions were used for statistically significant variables. Table 7 shows the output of logistic regression of wasted for different characteristics. Children who were average in size at birth were 1.35 times (with 95% CI = 1.01 to 1.83) wasted and who were small in size were 2.23 (with 95% CI = 1.65 to 2.99) times wasted as compared to those children who were large at birth. Children who were born from the uneducated mother were 1.97 (with 95% CI = 1.58 to 2.44) times, from primary were 1.86 (with 95% CI = 1.49 to 3.3) times and from secondary were 1.32 (with 95% CI = 0.95 to 1.63) times underweight as compared to those children who were born from higher education mother but this result was found to be statistically insignificant (p - value = 0.21). Female children were 0.69 times (with 95 % CI = 0.52 to 0.89) wasted as

Upadhyay et al., Adv. J. Grad. Res.; Vol. 2, Issue 1, pp: 12-24, July 2017

compared to the male children. Children who were born from those mother whose BMI is <18.5kg/m² were 1.87 (with 95% CI = 1.50 to 2.31) times wasted as compare to those children who were born from those mother whose BMI was greater than or equal to 18.5 kg/m².

Characteristics	В	S.E.	P-Value	OR	OR 95% C.I. for OR	
					Lower	Upper
Size of child at birth						
Large (Ref.)						
Average	0.08	0.05	< 0.001	1.35	1.01	1.83
Small	0.54	0.21	0.01	2.23	1.65	2.99
Education of mother						
Higher education (Ref.)						
Secondary	0.22	0.18	0.21	1.32	0.95	1.63
Primary	0.61	0.17	< 0.001	1.86	1.49	3.30
No education	0.56	0.35	< 0.001	1.97	1.58	2.44
Sex of child						
Female (Ref.)						
Male	-0.38	0.14	0.01	0.69	0.52	0.89
BMI of Mother						
$\geq 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (Ref.)						
<18.5 kg/m ²	0.53	0.16	<0.001	1.87	1.50	2.31
Constant	1.73	0.18	0.00	3.63		

Table 7: Logistic regression of wasted for different characteristics

4.4.2.1 Model Adequacy Test

For the model adequacy test in the binary logistic regression, Hosmer- Lemeshow test was used. Here for stunted chi-square value is 3.37 with 8 degree of freedom and p-value is 0.91, which implies that there is no significant difference between observed and predicted values indicating that model fit the data at an acceptable level. Strength of logistic regression relationship is computed from the pseudo R- square value. Table 8 shows Pseudo R-square value of the model for wasted. The result in the table reveals that the value are 0.024 and 0.049 respectively suggesting that between 2.4% and 4.9% of the variation in response variable is explained by the set of independent variables used in the model.

Table 8: Pseudo R-square value of the model for wasted.

-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1573.672	0.024	0.049

4.4.3 For Underweight

Binary logistic regressions were used for statistically significant variables. Table 9 shows the output of logistic regression of underweight for different characteristics. Children who were born in the middle-class family were 1.25 (with 95% CI = 1.15 to 1.63) times underweight and who were born in poor family were 2.31 (with 95% CI = 1.76 to 3.02) times underweight as compared to those children who were born in rich family. Children who born from the smoking habit mother were 1.66 (with 95% CI = 1.26 to 2.17) times underweight as compared to those children the nonsmoking habit mother. Children

Statistical Analysis on Nutritional Status and its Associated Factors of Under Five Years Children in Nepal

who were average in size at birth were 1.5 times (with 95% CI = 1.14 to 1.97) underweight and who were small in size were 2.18 (with 95% CI = 1.66 to 2.85) times underweight as compared to those children who were large at birth. Children who were born from the low BMI (<18.5kg/m²) mother were 1.51 (with 95% CI = 1.15 to 1.98) times underweight as compared to children who were born from those mother whose BMI \geq 18.5 kg/m². Children who were born from those mother whose height is less than 145 cm were 1.58 (with 95% CI = 1.20 to 2.07) times underweight as compare to those children who were born from those mother whose height is greater than or equal to 145 cm.

Characteristics	В	S.E.	P-Value	OR	95% C.I. for OR	
					Lower	Upper
Wealth index	1					
Rich (Ref.)						
Middle	-0.37	0.16	0.02	1.25	1.15	1.63
Poor	0.77	0.31	0.03	2.31	1.76	3.02
Smoking habit						
No (Ref.)						
Yes	0.35	0.15	0.02	1.66	1.26	2.17
Size of child at birth						
Large (Ref.)						
Average	0.12	0.03	0.001	1.5	1.14	1.97
Small	0.54	0.12	< 0.001	2.18	1.66	2.85
BMI of mother						
$\geq 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (Ref.)						
<18.5 kg/m ²	-0.44	0.12	< 0.001	1.51	1.15	1.98
Height of mother						
≥145 cm (Ref.)						
<145 cm	-1.59	0.13	< 0.001	1.58	1.20	2.07
Constant	0.08	0.24	0.74	1.08		

 Table 9: Logistic regression of underweight for different characteristics

4.4.3.1 Model Adequacy Test

For the model adequacy test in the binary logistic regression Hosmer-Lemeshow test was used. Here for stunted Chi-square value is 6.89 with 8 degree of freedom and p-value is 0.55, which implies that there is no significant difference between observed and predicted values indicating that model fit the data at an acceptable level. Strength of logistic regression relationship is computed from the Pseudo R- square value. Table 10 shows Pseudo R-square value of the model for underweight. In logistic regression model indication of the amount of variation in the dependent variable is given by Cox & Snell R-square and Nagelkerker R-square which are described as pseudo R-square. The result in the table above revels that the value are 0.103 and 0.147 respectively suggesting that between 10.3% and 14.7% of the variation in response variable is explained by the set of independent variables used in the model

Table 10: Pseudo	R-square value	of the model for	r underweight.
------------------	----------------	------------------	----------------

-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
2589.938	0.103	0.147

5. Conclusions

This study was focused on finding the prevalence and factors associated with nutritional status of under five year children. The finding of the study shows that the prevalence of stunted, wasted and underweight is high which a major issue of our country. The prevalence of malnutrition is higher among the boys children as compare to the girls children. The prevalence of malnutrition is higher among the children from the mountain region also those who are from the mid-western development region and children in the rural area have poor nutrition as compared to urban area. Factor affecting the nutritional status of children were in stunted (wealth index, size of child at birth, smoking habit of mother, ANC checkup, height of mother and BMI of mother), in wasted (size of child at birth, education of mother, sex of child, BMI of mother) and in underweight (wealth index, smoking habit of mother, size of child at birth, BMI of mother, height of mother). Efforts should be made to improve the ANC services during pregnancy period. Place of delivery and care during the delivery are the most important factors associated with malnutrition so, the concerned authority should increase the incentive for promotion of institutional delivery and knowledge on choosing the appropriate age for the pregnancy and nutritional diet during the pregnancy.

Acknowledgement

I am indebted to Mr. Madhav Kumar Bhusal, Lecturer of Central Department of Statistics. With great revence, I extend my deep sense of gratitude to my respected teacher Mr. Govinda Prasad Dhungana, Lecturer of Birendra Multiple Campus. I am thankful to Macro International Inc. and New Era for allowing me to use the data from Nepal Demographic and Health Survey, 2011.

How to cite this article:

Upadhyay, H., & Bhusal, M. (2017). Statistical Analysis on Nutritional Status and its Associated Factors of Under Five Years Children in Nepal. *Advanced Journal of Graduate Research*, 2(1), 12-24. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.21467/ajgr.2.1.12-24</u>

References

- [1] World Bank "World Development Report 2012: Gender Equality and Development" World Bank., 2012.
- [2] Ronsmans et, al. "Maternal mortality in Developing countries" *Nutrition and Health in Developing Countries*. 2nd edition. Humana Press, 2008.
- [3] Pradhan, A, "Factors Associated with Nutritional Status of the Under Five Children" *Asian Journal of Medical Sciences, vol 1, no 1, pp.6-8, 2010.*
- [4] Dhungana, G. P., "Nutritional Status Of Under 5 Children And Associated Factors Of Kunchha Village Development Committee" Journal of Chitwan Medical College, vol 3, no 4, pp38-42, 2013.
- [5] WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group. "WHO Child Growth Standards: Length/height-for-age, weight-forlength, weight-for-height and body mass index-for-age: Methods and development" *Geneva: World Health Organization*, 2006.
- [6] Nepal Demographic and Health Survey. Kathmandu, Nepal: Ministry of Health and Population, New ERA, and ICF International, Calverton, Maryland, pp. 166-173, 2011.

Publish your research article in AIJR journals-

- ✓ Online Submission and Tracking
- ✓ Peer Reviewed
- ✓ Rapid decision
- ✓ Immediate Publication after acceptance
- ✓ Open Access (Articles freely available online)
- ✓ Retain full copyright of your article.

Submit your article at journals.aijr.in